1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502) davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649) melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com John Neukom (Bar No. 275887) johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886) jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4788 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	N, LLP		
9				
10				
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
12	WAYMO LLC,	CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA		
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF WAYMO LLC'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE		
14	vs.	UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF ITS RESPONSE TO UBER'S		
15	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO STRIKE TRADE		
16	LLC,	VAGUE AND OVERBROAD TRADE SECRET CLAIMS AND EXHIBITS		
17	Defendants.	THERETO		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

01980-00104/9525935.1

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11 and 79-5, Plaintiff Waymo LLC ("Waymo") respectfully requests to file under seal portions of its Response to Uber's Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion to Strike Vague and Overbroad Trade Secret Claims ("Waymo's Response") and exhibits thereto. Specifically, Waymo requests an order granting leave to file under seal the portions of the documents as listed below:

Document	Portions to Be Filed	Designating Party
	Under Seal	
Waymo's Response	Highlighted Portions	Waymo (green
		highlighting);
		Defendants (blue
		highlighting)
Exhibits 1-3 to the Jaffe	Entire Documents	Defendants; Waymo
Declaration		(green highlighting)

I. <u>LEGAL STANDARD</u>

Civil Local Rule 79-5 requires that a party seeking sealing "establish[] that the document, or portions thereof, are privileged, protectable as a trade secret or otherwise entitled to protection under the law" (*i.e.*, is "sealable"). Civil L.R. 79-5(b). The sealing request must also "be narrowly tailored to seek sealing only of sealable material." *Id.* In the context of non-dispositive motions, materials may be sealed so long as the party seeking sealing makes a "particularized showing" under the "good cause" standard of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c). *Kamakana v. City & Cnty. of Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006) (quoting *Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122, 1135, 1138 (9th Cir. 2003)).

II. THE COURT SHOULD SEAL WAYMO'S CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The Court should seal the portions of Waymo's Response and exhibits thereto (highlighted green) identified by Waymo in the table above. Waymo seeks to file this information under seal because it discloses Waymo's trade secrets. *See* Declaration of Felipe Corredor ("Corredor Decl.") ¶¶ 3-5. Courts have determined that trade secret information merits sealing. *Music Grp. Macao Commercial Offshore Ltd. v. Foote*, No. 14-cv-03078, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2015) (quoting *Kamakana*, 447 F.3d at 1179); *see also Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. v. A10 Networks*, *Inc.*, No. C 10-3428, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2013) (granting request to seal

document that "consists entirely of descriptions of Brocade's trade secrets"). Confidential business information that, if released, may "harm a litigant's competitive standing" also merits sealing. *See Nixon v. Warner Commc'ns, Inc.*, 435 U.S. 589, 598-99 (1978). Waymo seeks to seal trade secrets that fit squarely within these categories. Corredor Decl. ¶¶ 3-5. Waymo maintains this information as a trade secret (*see* Dkt. 25-31) and ensures the information remains secret with strict secrecy and security protocols (*see* Dkt. 25-47; Dkt. 25-49.). *See* Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Waymo has narrowly tailored its requests to only information meriting sealing. *Id.* ¶ 5. In fact, both *Music Group* and *Brocade* found the confidential information at issue in those cases met the heightened "compelling reasons" standard for sealing. *Music Grp.*, 2015 WL 3993147, at *1; *Brocade*, 2013 WL 211115, at *1, *3. The information that Waymo seeks to seal, therefore, also meets this heightened standard. The disclosure of Waymo's trade secret information would harm Waymo. Corredor Decl. ¶ 4. Moreover, the scope of information that Waymo is seeking to seal is consistent with other administrative motions to seal that have already been granted by the Court in this case. (*See, e.g.*, Dkt. 416, 414, 406, 393, 392.) Thus, the Court should grant Waymo's administrative motion to seal.

III. DEFENDANTS' CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

Waymo only seeks to seal the portions of Waymo's Response (highlighted blue) and the entirety of Exhibits 1-3 to the Jaffe Declaration as identified in the table above, because Waymo believes such information is considered confidential or non-public by Defendants or by certain third parties. Corredor Decl. ¶ 6. Waymo takes no position as to the merits of sealing any of designated material, and expects Defendants to file declarations in accordance with the Local Rules.

IV. **CONCLUSION** In compliance with Civil Local Rule 79-5(d), redacted and unredacted versions of the above listed documents accompany this Administrative Motion. For the foregoing reasons, Waymo respectfully requests that the Court grant Waymo's administrative motion to file under seal. DATED: September 1, 2017 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP By /s/ Charles Verhoeven Charles Verhoeven Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

01980-00104/9525935.1